



## Verification Report

<b>Name of the CSO</b>		
<b>Reference / ID of the case</b>		
<b>Name &amp; Surname of person in charge of verification</b>		
<b>Background</b>		
<b>Is the applicant and LGBTIQ+ activist or member of the community?</b>	Yes	No
<b>Profile of the applicant</b>		
<b>Current situation of the applicant in relation to:</b>		
<b>Risk:</b> is the risk situation presented by the applicant relevant/current/likely to happen again/likely to produce serious consequences? <i>Highlight how and why.</i>		
<b>Vulnerabilities:</b> the specific vulnerabilities of the person are clear? Are they relevant in relation to the risk? <i>Please explain the specific relevance and list proof.</i>		
<b>Plausibility:</b> is the personal story presented by the applicant		



<p>coherent/logical? <i>Highlight eventual inconsistencies if any.</i></p>	
<p><b>Informed persons or witnesses:</b> was it possible to make any facts cross-checking of the case report? Were reports/witnesses/supporting letters presented by another person relevant? <i>Explain if yes or no and what.</i></p>	
<p><b>List of supporting documents in attachment</b> <i>(for each one explain what kind of document it is and how it could be relevant to the evaluation process)</i></p>	
<p><b>Other actions already taken by the applicant</b> (i.e., other funding applications, contacts with police, lawyers, medical personnel, other CSOs, etc.) and how they can be relevant for the evaluation of the case.</p>	
<p><b>Description of the support requested by the activist/member of the community</b></p>	
<p><b>What kind of help is the applicant looking for?</b></p>	



<p><b>Describe how the specific kind of help requested can improve the situation of the applicant also recommending alternative options if existing.</b></p>	
<b>Notes and recommendations</b>	
<p><i>List any relevant observation that might be underrated by the evaluation board or not well perceived through the written report. Highlight if a personal interview is strongly recommended or if anything has made the previous interview particularly difficult (e.g., low level of literacy or physical/mental conditions).</i></p>	